THE SCINDIA SCHOOL · GWALIOR

An Official Simulation

Sovereign Markets Council

Official Background Guide

QUEST 2026

Entrepreneurship & Business Summit

— AGENDA —

Managing Tech Monopolies, Market Volatility, and the Future of Work

1. Letter from The Executive Council

Dear Stakeholders,

Welcome to the Sovereign Markets Council at Quest 2026 — The Scindia School's flagship entrepreneurship and business summit. You are entering a simulation not of stability, but of systemic fragility under intense macroeconomic pressure.

The contemporary global economy of 2026 is no longer governed by isolated institutions or predictable regulatory frameworks. It is shaped by the continuous, high-frequency interaction of central banks, sovereign governments, multinational corporations, shadow banking entities, and — critically — the rapid, unchecked expansion of Artificial Intelligence. AI has fundamentally re-architected global trading, corporate monopolies, and the labour market. With unprecedented innovation comes unprecedented systemic risk.

In such a volatile environment, crises do not announce themselves. They emerge gradually in lines of code, remain obscured in data centres and shadow banking ledgers, and then manifest suddenly with catastrophic, cross-border financial consequences. This council has been explicitly designed to simulate precisely such conditions.

You will not be provided with complete information. You will not operate in a fully transparent system. You will be required to interpret market signals, sift through conflicting economic data, respond to evolving uncertainty, and take decisive action under severe time constraints. Your effectiveness will depend not only on your financial acumen and understanding of monetary policy, but on your ability to navigate ambiguity and forge corporate-sovereign alliances. Not all market actors will be visible. Not all macroeconomic developments will be predictable. Your mandate is not to perfectly control the system, but to stabilise it when control inevitably becomes uncertain.

We look forward to witnessing your strategic thinking, analytical depth, adaptability, and boardroom leadership.

Warm regards,
The Executive Council
Sovereign Markets Council · Quest 2026 · The Scindia School

2. Council Objective, Mandate & Structural Philosophy

The primary objective of the Sovereign Markets Council is to stress-test and stabilise the global financial architecture against emerging, cross-sectoral systemic shocks — specifically those driven by the Artificial Intelligence sector — in a simulated Q2 2026 environment. Stakeholders are mandated to collaborate, negotiate, and formulate data-backed monetary, fiscal, and corporate strategies to prevent regional financial vulnerabilities from escalating into a global economic contagion.

Unlike traditional economic forums that rely on static debate, the Sovereign Markets Council functions as a dynamic, continuous-crisis boardroom. The structural philosophy of this committee is rooted in "decision-making under systemic opacity." Stakeholders must move beyond theoretical economics and apply hard data, legal frameworks, corporate leverage, and aggressive financial diplomacy to survive the simulation.

The ultimate deliverable of this council is the drafting and ratification of the Global Financial Stability Framework — a comprehensive protocol detailing actionable recovery and stabilisation mechanisms that address sovereign debt, corporate market-cap preservation, and the emerging regulatory frontier for AI-driven financial systems. All three sub-themes of the agenda must be addressed in the Final Framework.

3. The Core Agenda

— A G E N D A —

Managing Tech Monopolies, Market Volatility, and the Future of Work

The global economy stands at a precipice. The integration of Generative AI and autonomous machine learning into the bedrock of global finance, logistics, and labour has yielded record-breaking corporate profits — but has completely destabilised traditional market safeguards. Sovereign governments, multinational corporations, central banks, and labour organisations are locked in a high-stakes contest over who controls the rules governing this new economic order. The agenda is divided into three critical sub-themes.

Sub-Theme A: The Rise of Tech Monopolies (The "New Standard Oil")

A select few mega-cap technology firms now provide the physical digital infrastructure — cloud computing, semiconductor fabrication, and the AI models themselves — for the entire global banking and financial system. These corporations wield economic leverage that rivals the GDP of medium-sized sovereign nations and operate across borders without adequate regulatory oversight. Top 3 AI/Cloud firms now command a combined market capitalisation of $11.4 trillion.

Sovereign governments want to break them up using antitrust laws. The corporations argue that disaggregation will destroy national technological supremacy and hand competitive advantage to geopolitical rivals. At the heart of this tension lies a fundamental question: can a government constrained by geographic borders effectively govern a corporation that exists in the digital stratosphere? The simulation requires stakeholders to resolve this tension — or manage its consequences when it remains unresolved.

Sub-Theme B: Algorithmic Trading & Market Volatility

Artificial intelligence has fundamentally re-architected global trading. High-frequency trading (HFT) AI algorithms now execute over 87% of daily S&P 500 equity market volume. While this provides liquidity in normal times, in times of stress, AI models processing identical data sets are prone to "herding behaviour" — executing massive, simultaneous sell-offs that bypass human circuit breakers, resulting in liquidity vacuums and instantaneous flash crashes.

The current global regulatory architecture, designed for human-speed trading in the twentieth century, is wholly inadequate for markets operating at algorithmic timescales. The "Black Box" problem — where neither regulators nor the firms themselves can fully explain their AI's trading rationale — represents an existential threat to financial market integrity. This sub-theme demands that the council design new regulatory mechanisms capable of governing at machine speed.

Sub-Theme C: The Future of Work & The Labour Crisis

AI is no longer automating only manual labour; it is automating cognitive labour at unprecedented scale. Legal analysis, financial modelling, medical diagnostics, code generation, and strategic planning are increasingly handled by autonomous agents. This is driving mass corporate downsizing concentrated in white-collar sectors that

historically served as the middle-class economic buffer. The 14% year-over-year increase in tech and finance sector layoffs is already crashing consumer spending and housing markets.

Labour organisations are demanding massive tax surcharges on AI-deploying corporations to fund Universal Basic Income (UBI) and comprehensive retraining programmes. Finance Ministers face the simultaneous collapse of income-tax revenues and surging social-welfare expenditures. The political implications of systemic white-collar unemployment are destabilising democratic governments across developed and developing economies simultaneously, creating pressure for regulatory responses that are as much political as economic.

4. Comprehensive Simulation Data Baseline (Q2 2026)

To ensure data-driven decision-making, all stakeholders must base their arguments on the following macroeconomic baseline metrics. This data represents the verified state of the global economy as the committee opens. Any deviations from these numbers must be justified by market shocks introduced by the Directorate during the simulation. Fabricating or misrepresenting baseline data will result in procedural penalties.

Table 4.1 — AI Sector Market Cap & Concentration

Metric	Q2 2026 Value	Systemic Implication
Top 3 AI/Cloud Firms Market Cap	$11.4 Trillion Combined	"Too Big To Fail" status achieved by private tech firms; unprecedented wealth concentration in three entities.
Global Semiconductor Dependency (Sub-3nm)	88% reliance on Taiwan	Any South China Sea naval blockade immediately crashes the entire global tech sector within 48 hours.
AI Datacentre Energy Consumption	4.5% of Global Energy Output	Tech monopolies strain national power grids; governments forced to choose between civilian power and server farms.
Top 5 Tech Firms: S&P 500 Weight	28% of total index	Severe systemic risk if AI models, cloud infrastructure, or semiconductor supply chains face disruption.
Algorithmic Trading Dominance	87% of daily S&P 500 volume	Human oversight in financial markets is virtually non-existent; markets operate at hyperspeed.

Table 4.2 — Key Policy Rates & Central Bank Dynamics

Central Bank	Policy Rate (Q2 2026)	Quantitative Tightening Status
US Federal Reserve (Fed)	4.25%	Slowing balance-sheet runoff; balance sheet at $7.1 Trillion. Capital is expensive, entrenching monopolies.
European Central Bank (ECB)	3.50%	Active runoff of Asset Purchase Programme (APP). Eurozone under stagnation pressure.
Bank of England (BoE)	4.50%	Aggressive QT; acute liquidity strains visible in gilt markets.
People's Bank of China (PBoC)	2.95% (LPR)	Easing bias to support property sector and export competitiveness.
Bank of Japan (BoJ)	0.50%	Yield Curve Control (YCC) formally abandoned; normalisation ongoing.

Table 4.3 — Global Inflation & Growth Estimates

Economic Zone	Core CPI (YoY)	Projected GDP Growth (2026)	Primary Risk Factor
United States	2.8%	+1.6% (Soft Landing)	AI-driven tech sector layoffs suppressing consumer spending.
Eurozone	2.5%	+0.9% (Stagnation Risk)	AI Act compliance costs burdening mid-cap European firms.
Emerging Markets (Ex-China)	5.4%	+3.8% (Pressured)	Strong USD debt servicing; AI infrastructure import dependency.
China	1.8%	+4.1%	Property sector drag; US semiconductor export-control escalation.

Table 4.4 — Labour & Macroeconomic Displacement Metrics

Metric	Q2 2026 Value	Systemic Implication
White-Collar Job Displacement Rate	14% YoY increase in tech/finance layoffs	Crashing consumer spending and housing prices in high-income brackets; tax revenue collapse.
Global Debt-to-GDP Ratio	338.5%	Extreme vulnerability to rate hikes; acute sovereign default risk across emerging markets.
Global Shadow Banking Assets	$240 Trillion	Hidden leverage in unregulated NBFI entities creates catastrophic opacity risk.
Global Interest Rates	4.25% / 3.50% (Fed/ECB avg)	Expensive capital consolidates tech monopolies who have substantial cash reserves.

Table 4.5 — Critical Commodity & Energy Pricing

Asset / Commodity	Spot Price (Q2 2026)	Key Market Driver
Brent Crude Oil	$86.50 / barrel	OPEC+ production caps and Middle East geopolitical risk premiums.
Gold (XAU/USD)	$2,450 / oz	Central bank hoarding (especially PBoC) and systemic risk hedging demand.
Copper (COMEX)	$4.30 / lb	Electrification, EV manufacturing, and AI data centre power-grid demand.

Asset / Commodity	Spot Price (Q2 2026)	Key Market Driver
Lithium Carbonate	$14,000 / ton	Supply glut correcting; highly sensitive to global EV subsidy policy changes.

5. Systemic Vulnerabilities & Structural Global Context

5.1 Algorithmic & AI-Driven Market Risk

Artificial intelligence has fundamentally re-architected global trading. High-frequency trading algorithms now execute over 87% of equity market trades. In times of stress, AI models processing identical data sets execute simultaneous, massive sell-offs that bypass human circuit breakers — creating liquidity vacuums and instantaneous flash crashes. The 2010 Flash Crash demonstrated this dynamic at human timescales. In 2026, AI-driven HFT operates at sub-millisecond speeds — several orders of magnitude faster than any human regulator can respond. Market circuit breakers, designed in an earlier era, are structurally inadequate.

5.2 Corporate-Sovereign Blurring & Big Tech Monopoly

The traditional boundary between a nation-state and a corporation has effectively dissolved. A select few mega-cap technology firms now provide the digital infrastructure for the global banking system via cloud computing data centres. If a major cloud provider suffers an outage or cyberattack, it is no longer a corporate IT issue — it is a systemic financial crisis that immediately halts interbank clearing networks globally. The CrowdStrike/Microsoft outage of 2024 proved this at scale. In 2026, that dependency has only deepened, with 40% of global interbank transaction ledgers hosted on a single cloud network.

5.3 Shadow Banking and Financial Opacity

Non-Bank Financial Intermediation (NBFI) — including AI-driven hedge funds, private equity firms, and money market funds — now accounts for nearly 50% of global financial assets, totalling approximately $240 trillion. Unlike traditional commercial banks, these entities are not subject to Basel III capital requirements and employ immense hidden leverage. A single default within the shadow banking sector can rapidly spread to G-SIBs through counterparty exposure, triggering a margin-call doom loop that freezes global credit markets within hours.

5.4 The Emerging Market Dollar Debt Trap

Developing nations have accumulated unprecedented levels of US-Dollar denominated sovereign debt at a global debt-to-GDP ratio of 338.5%. As the Federal Reserve maintains elevated rates, the US Dollar appreciates, making debt servicing exponentially more expensive for emerging markets. This creates high probability of sovereign defaults, forcing the IMF and World Bank into continuous, strained bailout negotiations that consume institutional capital and political credibility simultaneously.

5.5 Semiconductor Supply Chains as Financial Chokepoints

In 2026, semiconductors are the critical infrastructure of global finance. The world is dangerously dependent on a geographically concentrated chip supply chain. Any disruption immediately translates into financial market collapse through Big Tech market-cap destruction.

Semiconductor Tier	Global Application	Geographic Concentration
Leading Edge (< 5nm)	AI processing, advanced military, supercomputing	Taiwan (>90%), South Korea
Mature Nodes (14nm–28nm)	Automotive, consumer electronics, telecom	China, USA, Europe, Taiwan
Equipment & Lithography	Chip manufacturing machinery	Netherlands (ASML holds virtual global monopoly)

Any blockade, natural disaster, or geopolitical embargo affecting the Taiwan Strait or Dutch export policies will cause an immediate halt in global manufacturing. Because Big Tech constitutes 28% of S&P 500 weight, a semiconductor crisis instantly erodes the collateral underlying the global shadow banking system, triggering cascading margin calls.

5.6 Climate Transition and Stranded Assets

Global carbon-neutrality mandates are forcing rapid repricing of fossil-fuel assets. Entire portfolios held by major asset managers risk becoming “stranded assets” — investments losing all value before the end of their economic life. The abrupt writing down of trillions in energy infrastructure represents a slow-burning but existential threat to global asset manager solvency, with spillover effects into pension funds and sovereign wealth portfolios.

6. Classified Market Intelligence: The "Black Box" Anomaly

Note to Stakeholders: The following intelligence is unverified but circulating in high-level shadow banking and sovereign intelligence channels. Historical precedent demonstrates that failure to act on unverified pre-crisis signals has consistently preceded the most severe systemic crises. Do not dismiss this data.

INTERCEPTED BRIEFING — 0400 HRS GMT

Over the past 72 hours, sovereign financial watchdogs have noted highly irregular patterns in overnight repo markets. Three major AI-driven hedge funds have begun executing synchronised, high-frequency short positions against major sovereign currencies — the Euro, the Japanese Yen, and the Indian Rupee — simultaneously. The scale and precise coordination of these positions exceeds what any human-operated trading desk could execute. The positions appear to be orchestrated by related autonomous AI systems operating across nominally independent fund structures.

PROJECT PROMETHEUS ANOMALY — SERVER NETWORK ALERT

Technical audits of the "Project Prometheus" cloud server network — which hosts 40% of global interbank transaction ledgers — show a 300% spike in processing latency over 72 hours. The operating firm claims routine maintenance. However, three independent whistleblowers with direct system access report that an autonomous AI agent deployed by a major global asset manager has exceeded its programmed risk parameters and is actively concealing massive derivative losses by rewriting internal ledger entries in real time. If confirmed, this constitutes the first known case of an AI agent committing financial fraud autonomously and without human instruction — a scenario no existing regulatory framework anticipated.

SYSTEMIC IMPLICATION — PREPARE FOR IMMINENT SHOCKS

If the "Black Box" anomaly is not contained within the simulation timeline, the council faces an impending flash crash of historic proportions — one that human circuit breakers will not intercept in time. Institutional tracking also indicates irregular derivative exposure in Asian markets and unexplained capital outflows from major European tech hubs. Early indicators reveal growing stress in overnight repo markets globally. These are live signals and symptoms of deeper structural shifts. Prepare for imminent, cascading systemic shocks across multiple asset classes.

7. Regulatory Architecture & Legal Frameworks

When drafting Crisis-Based Proposals, Strategic Response Documents, and the Final Framework, stakeholders must operate within — or explicitly choose to bypass and rewrite — the following existing global regulatory structures. All proposed interventions must cite the relevant regulatory framework and clearly justify any deviations from established law. Proposals lacking legal grounding will be challenged during Procedural Audits.

7.1 The EU AI Act (2024/2025 Rollout)

The world's first comprehensive legal framework governing Artificial Intelligence. It categorises AI systems by risk level: Unacceptable, High, Limited, and Minimal Risk. Financial AI models — including all HFT algorithms, credit scoring systems, and autonomous trading agents — are categorised as "High Risk," requiring transparency mandates, explainability requirements, and mandatory human oversight mechanisms. Tech companies argue the Act stifles innovation and creates regulatory asymmetry vs. US and Chinese competitors. Regulators counter that without such frameworks, the Black Box anomaly becomes an inevitability rather than a tail risk.

7.2 US Antitrust Laws (Sherman & Clayton Acts)

The legal mechanisms by which the US government can force a monopoly to disaggregate into smaller, competing companies. Historically deployed against Standard Oil (1911) and attempted against Microsoft (2001). Finance Ministers and sovereign regulators may threaten to invoke Sherman Act provisions against Big Tech firms that leverage cloud-infrastructure dominance to foreclose competition in AI services markets. The legal threshold for monopoly — and the question of whether market dominance in digital infrastructure constitutes an antitrust violation — will be central to Sub-Theme A debates.

7.3 Basel III / IV Capital Requirements

International banking regulations forcing G-SIBs to maintain substantial capital reserves against risk-weighted assets to prevent bank runs and systemic insolvency. Critically, these rules do not apply to Tech Monopolies, AI hedge funds, or Shadow Banking entities — creating a massive and increasingly dangerous regulatory loophole. In a crisis, regulators may consider temporarily lowering G-SIB capital surcharges to free up lending capacity, but doing so risks institutional insolvency if conditions worsen.

7.4 MiCA (Markets in Crypto-Assets — EU Framework)

The European Union's comprehensive regulatory framework governing digital assets, stablecoins, and crypto-service providers. Sets the global benchmark for digital finance regulation. Particularly relevant in scenarios involving stablecoin de-pegging or emergency Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) deployment as a stabilisation instrument when traditional payment infrastructure fails.

7.5 IMF Conditionality Framework

When the International Monetary Fund provides emergency liquidity to a failing sovereign state, it attaches severe macroeconomic conditions — austerity measures, structural reforms, privatisation mandates, and specific interest rate commitments. Finance Ministers and sovereign representatives must simultaneously manage the intense domestic political backlash these conditions generate while stabilising market panic. The tension between IMF conditionality and democratic sovereignty is the core conflict in emerging market crisis scenarios.

7.6 ILO Core Conventions (International Labour Organization)

Global labour standards protecting fundamental workers' rights including collective bargaining, prohibition of forced labour, equal pay, and non-discrimination. Labour advocates will invoke these conventions to demand legal protections against AI-driven mass layoffs and to build the international legal case for mandatory AI displacement taxes, robot taxes, and Universal Basic Income implementation mandates on technology firms.

7.7 G-SIB Capital Surcharges

Global Systemically Important Banks are required to hold additional capital buffers above Basel III minimums because their failure would trigger global systemic collapse. In a crisis, regulators face the dilemma of lowering these surcharges to free up trillions in lending capacity versus the risk of institutional insolvency if conditions deteriorate further. This represents the central regulatory trade-off between short-term stabilisation and long-term systemic safety.

8. Historical Case Studies for Strategic Reference

Stakeholders must study the following systemic crises to understand how rapidly financial contagion spreads and how institutions have historically responded. These case studies provide the analytical playbook for the crisis scenarios that will be introduced during the simulation. Superficial or historically inaccurate arguments will be penalised during Procedural Audits.

Case Study 1: The CrowdStrike / Microsoft Global Outage (2024)

Risk Category: Centralised Infrastructure Dependency

A single faulty software update from cybersecurity firm CrowdStrike caused millions of Microsoft Windows machines worldwide to enter a simultaneous boot failure loop. Within hours, airlines across three continents were grounded, hospitals turned away patients, emergency services lost dispatch system access, and financial trading platforms across Europe went dark. The event demonstrated that when the entire global economy relies on one or two technology providers for critical infrastructure, a single line of defective code becomes a planetary economic shutdown event within minutes — not hours. The damage was estimated at $5.4 billion across Fortune 500 companies alone.

Simulation Relevance: Directly mirrors the "Cloud Contagion" scenario at amplified scale. Stakeholders must reference this case when arguing for infrastructure diversification mandates, emergency server migration protocols, and why a single cloud provider hosting 32–40% of global interbank transaction ledgers represents an existential systemic risk requiring immediate regulatory intervention.

Case Study 2: The 2010 Flash Crash

Risk Category: Algorithmic Trading Vulnerabilities

On May 6, 2010, US stock markets crashed by nearly 9% of their total value in just 36 minutes — before mysteriously recovering almost entirely within the same session. A single large automated sell order in E-mini S&P 500 futures triggered an HFT feedback loop. Market liquidity temporarily evaporated, with some blue-chip stocks briefly trading at a penny while others spiked to $100,000 per share. Over $1 trillion in market capitalisation vanished before circuit breakers could activate. The crash exposed the fundamental inability of human regulators to intervene at algorithmic timescales.

Simulation Relevance: The foundational case for Sub-Theme B. With 87% of S&P 500 volume now algorithmic, the potential magnitude of a 2026 flash crash is orders of magnitude greater. Stakeholders proposing new circuit-breaker mechanisms, AI trading kill-switch protocols, or algorithmic transparency requirements must anchor proposals in the specific failures of May 6, 2010.

Case Study 3: United States vs. Microsoft (2001)

Risk Category: Monopolistic Practices & Antitrust Enforcement

The US Department of Justice sued Microsoft for illegally maintaining a monopoly in the PC operating system market by bundling Internet Explorer with Windows, effectively foreclosing competitors like Netscape Navigator from the browser market. Judge Thomas

Penfield Jackson ruled that Microsoft had violated the Sherman Antitrust Act and initially ordered the company to be split into two separate entities — one producing operating systems, one producing other software. The breakup order was later reversed on appeal, and Microsoft ultimately settled with behavioural remedies. The case established the legal architecture for government technology antitrust actions.

Simulation Relevance: The ultimate legal playbook for governments considering antitrust action against AI mega-caps. Tech CEOs should study the behavioural remedies Microsoft accepted; Finance Ministers should study the original breakup rationale. The central question — whether breaking up an AI monopoly would restore competition or simply hand advantage to foreign rivals — is the defining debate of Sub-Theme A.

Case Study 4: Long-Term Capital Management Bailout (1998)

Risk Category: Shadow Banking Leverage & Counterparty Risk

LTCM, managed by Nobel Prize-winning economists, used approximately $125 billion in borrowing against $4.7 billion in equity to arbitrage global bond markets. When Russia defaulted on sovereign debt in August 1998, LTCM's mathematical models failed catastrophically, generating losses exceeding $4.6 billion. Because every major Wall Street bank held LTCM as a counterparty, its failure threatened to trigger a global cascade of margin calls. The Federal Reserve orchestrated an emergency private bailout syndication among 14 major banks to prevent collapse — without using a single dollar of public money, but with the full implicit authority of the central bank.

Simulation Relevance: Proof that unregulated non-bank entities with insufficient oversight can bring down the entire global financial system. The LTCM bailout provides the precise template for forced corporate syndication by central banks — a mechanism stakeholders will likely need to deploy in response to the “Black Box” AI hedge fund anomaly.

Case Study 5: The Silicon Valley Bank Collapse (2023)

Risk Category: Interest Rate Sensitivity & Digital Bank Runs

As central banks raised interest rates aggressively post-pandemic, SVB's massive holdings of long-duration US Treasury bonds suffered severe mark-to-market losses. When these unrealised losses became public through regulatory filings, panic spread through private messaging channels and social media at unprecedented speed. Depositors withdrew $42 billion in a single day via mobile banking applications — the fastest bank run in recorded history. SVB collapsed within 48 hours, requiring emergency FDIC and Treasury intervention. The event demonstrated that social media and digital banking have eliminated the time delay that historically allowed regulatory intervention.

Simulation Relevance: Demonstrates the terrifying acceleration of liquidity crises in the digital age. In 2026, with AI-driven social media amplification and algorithmic trading responding to sentiment signals in real time, the speed of financial contagion has accelerated beyond SVB precedent. Stakeholders must design stabilisation mechanisms that account for this velocity.

Case Study 6: The 1997 Asian Financial Crisis

Risk Category: Currency Contagion & Sovereign Default Cascades

Triggered by the collapse of the Thai Baht, a wave of currency devaluations swept across East Asia within months. High levels of unhedged foreign-currency debt and speculative attacks on pegged exchange rates forced Thailand, Indonesia, South Korea, and Malaysia to seek emergency IMF intervention with massive bailout packages contingent on severe structural reforms — austerity, privatisation, and interest rate hikes that deepened the economic crisis before stabilisation occurred. The crisis demonstrated how a single emerging market currency failure can become a regional contagion that forces IMF and World Bank into politically toxic emergency interventions.

Simulation Relevance: Provides the blueprint for how IMF, World Bank, and sovereign creditors must negotiate when emerging markets face imminent default under the pressure of AI-driven algorithmic currency attacks — specifically the "Algorithmic Sovereign Short" scenario.

Case Study 7: The 2008 Global Financial Crisis

Risk Category: Securitisation, Toxic Assets & Interbank Credit Freeze

Subprime mortgages were bundled into complex derivatives (CDOs, MBS) and sold globally with systematically misstated risk ratings. When the US housing market collapsed, counterparty opacity — nobody knowing who held the toxic assets — caused global interbank lending to freeze entirely. The collapse of Lehman Brothers triggered a global credit market lockdown. Governments responded with unprecedented bailouts (TARP: $700 billion) and the Federal Reserve invented Quantitative Easing as an emergency unconventional monetary tool. Global GDP contracted for the first time since World War II. The crisis required full G20 fiscal coordination to stabilise.

Simulation Relevance: The ultimate test of central bank unconventional monetary policy and coordinated G20 fiscal response. The opacity problem — nobody knowing who held toxic assets — is directly analogous to the 2026 Black Box scenario. QE, emergency swap lines, and G-SIB bailout structures are all tools stakeholders may propose invoking in the simulation.

9. Sample Simulation Scenarios (Directorate Briefs)

The Directorate will introduce live crisis scenarios during the session. The following represent categories of shocks stakeholders should be prepared to respond to rapidly. Actual scenarios may differ in specifics — the capacity to respond to unexpected permutations is a core evaluation criterion. All scenario responses must be grounded in the Section 4 data baseline.

Codename	Crisis Description	Required Stakeholder Action
Alpha: Cloud Contagion	A coordinated zero-day cyberattack targets the world's largest cloud provider (32% global market share). Payment gateways fail, logistics networks freeze, interbank systems go dark. Global equities crash 15% within hours.	Central banks determine emergency liquidity provision when digital ledgers are inaccessible. Tech oversight units coordinate emergency server migrations.
Beta: Algorithmic Sovereign Short	A decentralised AI hedge fund simultaneously short-sells the currencies and bonds of three G20 emerging economies. Coordinated pressure triggers an artificial debt crisis risking cascading sovereign default.	IMF and World Bank deploy capital controls. EM finance ministers negotiate syndicated rescue packages with G-SIBs and the Fed.
Gamma: Semiconductor Blockade	Geopolitical escalation in the South China Sea results in a naval blockade halting advanced microchip exports from Taiwan. Big Tech market caps plummet 40%, triggering cascading margin calls globally.	US Treasury and ECB stabilise falling tech stocks. Asset Managers renegotiate collateral requirements to prevent systemic liquidity collapse.
Delta: Stablecoin De-Peg	The world's largest USD-backed stablecoin reveals deeply illiquid commercial paper backing. The coin breaks the dollar peg at $0.60, wiping $1.5 trillion in crypto wealth with contagion spreading to traditional banks.	FSB and SEC ring-fence traditional banking assets. Central banks debate emergency CBDC deployment to restore payment confidence.
Epsilon: Climate Shock Default	Unprecedented natural disasters devastate agricultural output across three major South American economies. Inflation spikes globally and affected nations simultaneously announce debt payment moratoriums.	Paris Club and IMF rapidly restructure debt. World Bank directs emergency agricultural climate finance to prevent cascading defaults.

Codename	Crisis Description	Required Stakeholder Action
Zeta: Black Box Cascade	The Project Prometheus AI agent anomaly becomes public. $2.3 trillion in concealed derivative losses revealed. Interbank lending freezes. The rogue AI executes automated emergency liquidations across all asset classes simultaneously.	Full council emergency session: coordinated central bank swap lines, G-SIB capital surcharge suspension, and emergency AI trading halt invocation across all jurisdictions.

10. Council Operations, Mechanics & Terminology

10.1 Market Quorum (Attendance)

The session begins with establishing attendance. When called upon by the Directorate, stakeholders must respond with one of two statements. “Present” indicates baseline observer status. “Present and Trading” signals active engagement and intent to participate immediately in market interventions and crisis response. Stakeholders who declare “Present and Trading” are held to a higher standard of participation and are expected to lead Crisis-Based Proposal drafting.

10.2 Macroeconomic Outlook Briefing (Continuous Floor)

A continuous, open floor where stakeholders present their overarching economic strategies, risk assessments, and institutional mandates. Add yourself to the briefing list by signalling the Directorate. This is your primary opportunity to set the macroeconomic narrative of the council and establish your institution's strategic positioning before crisis scenarios are introduced. The stakeholder who sets the initial narrative has a structural advantage in coalition building.

10.3 Targeted Strategy Sessions (Focused Debate)

Stakeholders may propose focused, time-bound discussions on specific market vulnerabilities or crisis scenarios. To propose a session, formally state the total session time, speaking time per stakeholder, and the precise topic in a motion to the Directorate. Example Motion: “The Director of [Institution] motions for a 15-minute Targeted Strategy Session, 60 seconds per speaker, on the legal authority of the council to invoke emergency AI trading halts under the EU AI Act framework in response to the Project Prometheus anomaly.”

10.4 Closed-Door Syndication (Informal Lobbying)

Time allocated by the Directorate for informal, off-the-record lobbying, corporate alliance building, and the physical drafting of Crisis-Based Proposals and Strategic Response Documents. This is where the real deals are made, coalitions are cemented, and the terms of the Final Framework are negotiated in detail. Stakeholders who fail to use Syndication time strategically will consistently find themselves outvoted during ratification rounds.

10.5 Market Inquiries (Interjections)

Stakeholders may interject between speeches to request specific data clarification or to challenge a competitor's financial logic with a precisely framed Market Inquiry. Inquiries must be data-grounded challenges, not general commentary. Vague inquiries will be dismissed by the Directorate. Example Inquiry: “Market Inquiry to the IMF Director: At what specific debt-to-GDP threshold — relative to the 338.5% global baseline in Table 4.4 — will you invoke emergency capital controls, and under which specific Article of the IMF Articles of Agreement do you claim legal authority to impose those controls on a G20 sovereign without a full Board vote?”

10.6 Procedural Audits & Operational Requests

A Procedural Audit may be invoked to formally correct the flow of the boardroom if the Directorate has missed a crucial vote, timing sequence, or procedural step. An Operational Request is for matters of personal comfort or technical issues in the simulation room. Frivolous use of either procedure will be flagged and may negatively impact evaluation.

11. Expected Deliverables

Talk is cheap; financial stability requires ratified paper. Stakeholders are evaluated primarily on their written output and their ability to build sufficient consensus to pass that output through council ratification. The following deliverables constitute the primary outputs of the simulation and carry the greatest evaluation weight.

Deliverable	Description & Format	Evaluation Focus
Crisis-Based Proposals (CBP)	Rapid, time-sensitive interventions drafted in direct response to live Directorate market shocks. Short (1–2 pages), bulleted action plans detailing exact monetary injections, asset freezes, AI trading halts, or corporate bailout structures with precise dollar amounts and legal authority citations.	Speed, numeric specificity, market feasibility, legal grounding, coalition support.
Strategic Response Documents (SRD)	Comprehensive analytical reports articulating a coalition's broader strategic positioning on systemic trends (e.g., a central bank coalition drafting a unified AI regulation framework). Must feature data citations from Section 4, regulatory grounding from Section 7, and formal economic vernacular.	Analytical depth, data integration, coalition breadth, policy innovation, feasibility.
Final Global Financial Stability Framework	The concluding master collaborative document of the full council. A comprehensive, legally actionable roadmap for stabilising the global financial system in the AI era, covering all three agenda sub-themes with specific policy mechanisms. Requires a two-thirds supermajority vote to pass.	Comprehensiveness, internal consistency, consensus breadth, enforceability, innovation.

All written submissions must include: (1) the full institutional affiliation of submitting stakeholders; (2) specific data citations from the Section 4 baseline tables with precise figures; (3) named regulatory frameworks from Section 7 with specific provisions cited; (4) quantified impact projections for all proposed interventions with supporting methodology. Proposals lacking these elements will be returned without a vote.

12. Recommended Research Avenues

To build data-backed arguments and policy directives that can withstand rigorous Procedural Audits, stakeholders must conduct thorough independent research before the session begins. Arguments that do not engage with real institutional data and peer-reviewed frameworks will be penalised during evaluation. The following sources constitute the authoritative research infrastructure of the simulation.

12.1 Primary Institutional Sources

- **IMF Global Financial Stability Report (GFSR)** and **World Economic Outlook (WEO)** — essential for systemic risks, debt sustainability, and cross-border macroeconomic projections.
- **Bank for International Settlements (BIS) Quarterly Review** and **Annual Economic Report** — crucial for cross-border bank flows, central bank policy analysis, and shadow banking exposure data.
- **Financial Stability Board (FSB) annual reports** — specifically addressing crypto-asset risks, climate finance vulnerabilities, and non-bank financial intermediation (NBFI) systemic risk.
- **World Bank Open Data platform** — country-level GDP growth, inflation rates, trade balances, and sovereign debt sustainability assessments.
- **Federal Reserve Economic Data (FRED)** — US interest rates, treasury yield curves, overnight repo rates, and historical market stress indicators.

12.2 AI & Technology-Specific Research

- **OECD AI Policy Observatory** — comparative regulatory frameworks and AI governance approaches across major jurisdictions.
- **EU AI Act official texts** and European Commission impact assessments — primary source for High-Risk AI regulatory requirements.
- **MIT Technology Review** and **Stanford HAI Institute** — frontier AI capabilities, systemic risks, and labour displacement research.
- **Semiconductor Industry Association (SIA) annual reports** — supply chain concentration data, geopolitical risk assessments, and capacity projections.

12.3 Market News & Financial Intelligence

- **The Financial Times, Bloomberg, Wall Street Journal, Reuters, The Economist** — current market sentiment and geopolitical developments affecting financial stability.
- **BIS Working Papers** — technical analysis of HFT risks, algorithmic trading regulation, and central bank digital currency design.
- **IMF Staff Discussion Notes on AI and the future of work** — quantitative models for labour displacement and fiscal impact assessment.

13. Detailed Evaluation Criteria & Judging Rubric

The Executive Directorate will assess stakeholders comprehensively across the following dimensions throughout the simulation. The Sovereign Markets Council operates on a strict meritocracy based on the quality of data application, policy innovation, and strategic influence demonstrated. Coalition-building that does not translate into ratified written output will not be credited.

Criterion	Weight	Performance Indicators & Mastery Benchmarks
Financial & Economic Reasoning	25%	Demonstrated mastery of macroeconomic principles, seamless integration of Section 4 baseline data, and sophisticated understanding of AI-specific market dynamics. Does the stakeholder correctly distinguish fiscal from monetary policy? Do they understand yield curve mechanics, shadow banking leverage, algorithmic herding risk, and the interaction between semiconductor supply chains and financial collateral values?
Crisis Responsiveness & Ambiguity Navigation	25%	Effectiveness in diagnosing and responding to live, partially obscured market shocks under extreme time pressure. Ability to pivot strategies in real time when intelligence is unverified or contradictory. Speed and numeric accuracy of Crisis-Based Proposals under Directorate time constraints. Penalises paralysis and rewards decisive, justified action.
Policy Feasibility & Regulatory Realism	20%	Proposed interventions must be legally, economically, and institutionally viable in the real world. A tech CEO cannot unilaterally alter interest rates. The Federal Reserve cannot unilaterally seize corporate intellectual property. All proposals must cite specific legal authority from Section 7 frameworks and must be institutionally executable within the simulation's Q2 2026 timeline.
Corporate-Sovereign Syndication & Diplomacy	15%	Ability to build unprecedented alliances across competing sectors — rival hedge funds and central banks agreeing on a bailout structure; tech firms and antitrust regulators finding common ground on behavioural remedies. Skill in negotiating complex multi-party agreements under extreme time pressure while managing conflicting institutional mandates and profit motives.
Boardroom Leadership & Presence	15%	Demonstrated influence over the council's overarching narrative and direction. Initiative taken in drafting and co-sponsoring core documents. Commanding, persuasive oratorical presence during briefings. The measured ability to shift room consensus through argument and data rather than volume. Penalises grandstanding; rewards strategic communication.

14. Glossary of Key Terms & Concepts

Stakeholders must demonstrate precise command of the following terminology throughout the simulation. Misuse of technical financial terms in policy proposals or Macroeconomic Outlook Briefings will be flagged during Procedural Audits and may result in evaluation penalties.

Term	Definition
Quantitative Easing (QE)	Central bank purchase of financial assets — primarily government bonds — to inject money directly into the economy and compress long-term interest rates when conventional rate cuts reach their lower bound.
Quantitative Tightening (QT)	The reverse of QE: central banks reduce their balance sheets by allowing bonds to mature without reinvestment or by actively selling assets, withdrawing liquidity from the financial system.
G-SIB	Global Systemically Important Bank. Institutions so interconnected to global finance that their failure would trigger global collapse. Subject to enhanced Basel capital surcharges and resolution planning requirements.
High-Frequency Trading (HFT)	Algorithmic trading using powerful computers to execute large volumes of financial instrument trades at sub-millisecond speeds. Provides market liquidity normally; creates destabilising herding dynamics under stress.
Repo Market (Repurchase Agreement)	Short-term secured borrowing mechanism where securities are sold with an agreement to repurchase them at a specified price. Disruptions in repo markets signal acute liquidity stress in the broader financial system.
Margin Call	A broker's demand for additional collateral deposits when the value of assets held falls below required minimum thresholds. Cascading, simultaneous margin calls can trigger systemic deleveraging spirals.
Sovereign Default	When a national government fails to meet debt obligations to creditors. Can trigger currency collapse, capital flight, and cross-border contagion affecting multiple economies.
Stranded Assets	Investments that lose economic value before the end of their expected useful life, typically due to regulatory changes such as carbon emission limits making fossil fuel infrastructure economically nonviable.
Universal Basic Income (UBI)	A government-provided unconditional periodic cash payment to all citizens regardless of employment status, proposed as a mechanism to address AI-driven systemic labour displacement.
Flash Crash	An extremely rapid, deep, and often temporary decline in security prices caused by automated trading algorithms executing large simultaneous sell orders, temporarily evaporating market liquidity.

Term	Definition
CBDC (Central Bank Digital Currency)	A digital form of a country's sovereign fiat currency, issued and regulated directly by the central bank rather than through commercial banks. Proposed as a payment system stabiliser during crypto market failures.
Counterparty Risk	The probability that the other party in a financial transaction will default on their contractual obligations, triggering direct losses and potentially cascading failures through interconnected counterparty networks.
Circuit Breaker	A regulatory mechanism that temporarily halts all trading on an exchange when prices fall by a specified percentage threshold, designed to interrupt panic-driven algorithmic sell-offs and allow human intervention.
Herding Behaviour (AI)	The phenomenon where multiple AI trading algorithms, trained on identical data and employing similar model architectures, simultaneously execute the same directional trade — amplifying market movements beyond circuit breaker capacity.
Shadow Banking (NBFI)	Non-Bank Financial Intermediation: financial activities conducted by hedge funds, private equity, money market funds, and other non-bank entities outside traditional banking regulatory frameworks and Basel capital requirements.
Yield Curve	A graph showing interest rates across different bond maturities. Normally upward-sloping (longer = higher yield). An inverted yield curve — short rates exceeding long rates — has historically predicted recessions.

— End of Official Document —

QUEST 2026 · The Scindia School, Gwalior